

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/731,066  <b>Examiner</b> UYEN T. LE	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TOWNSEND ET AL.  <b>Art Unit</b> 2163
--	---	---

**All Participants:**

(1) UYEN T. LE

(2) James Marc Leas (Reg. No. 34,372)

**Date of Interview:** 4 November 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*N/A*

**Claims discussed:**  
*1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 17, 19, 24, 29, 39, 40, 41, 44, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52, 61, 66, 71, 74, 75, 80-83*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*N/A*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant's arguments regarding the support in the specification for the claimed "all power for powering the sensing unit is derived from the control unit" are persuasive. Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Uyen T. Le/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2163

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)